UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Sergio Raphael Bennett _{Defendant}	Case No. 1:19-cr-00065-RJJ
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Elefendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Par	t I – Findings of Fact
(1)		cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of fense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S which the prison term is 10 years or mor	.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for e.
	an offense for which the maximum sente	ence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison	
	a felony committed after the defendant hu.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab any felony that is not a crime of violence a minor victim	
		irearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)		nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed sinc offense described in finding (1).	e the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable person or the community. I further find that de	presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another fendant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alte	ernative Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the def	endant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 8	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the defendant's appear	on established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ance and the safety of the community.
,		ernative Findings (B)
<u>√</u> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will r	• •
(2)		endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		ent of the Reasons for Detention
evidence 1. Defer	a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not	
	ndant has been in state custody and would not ndant may bring the issue of his continuing dete	be released in any case. Intion to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
_		ections Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro The defendant must be afforded a reasonable o	e Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a m persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending pportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the grance.
Date:	April 9, 2019 Judge	's Signature: _/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge